



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P O Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/846,423	04/30/2001	Gregory Sharat I.in	PHA 009002	4584
7590	12/03/2003		EXAMINER	
MICHAEL E. SCHMITT C/O PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA CO. CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY 580 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN, NY 10591-5190			SUNG, CHRISTINE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/846,423	LIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Christine Sung	Art Unit 2878	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 September 2003.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-87 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) 1-72 is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) 73-86 is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 8/14/2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____. 6) Other: _____

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on 9/14/2003 has been entered.
2. The drawing amendment filed on 9/14/2003 has been entered.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 73-86 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 6, 13, 17, 22, 28, 33, 38, 44, 48, 54, 58, 63, 66 and 72 respectively. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-72 are allowed.
5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 1-33 and 64-72, the primary reason for allowance of these claims is that they contain a specific processing logic that takes a plurality of subsets of events from each layer and applies a deconvolution function to the subsets of events to determine the probable source locations of the event. None of the prior art of record specifically discloses taking the subsets of events from each detection layer, however references such as Cree et al. disclose the use of a deconvolution function on an entire set of events to reconstruct an image. One of ordinary skill in the art would not be motivated to have taken representative event subsets to determine probable source locations.

Regarding claims 34-44, the primary reason for allowance of these claims is that the processor includes forward projecting data from the first detector to create a probable source

location using data only from the first detection layer. Conventional methods of measuring the probable source locations include processing both the data from the first AND second detection layer, then back projecting to a probable source location. However, this embodiment of the invention uses the correlated data from both layers to decide coincidence and not the probable source location.

Regarding claims 45-63, the primary reason for allowance of these claims is that they contain a second processor that responsible for accumulating Compton scattering angles for coincident events that were stored in the first memory, which only accumulated coincident events. Although coincident processors and memories are well known in the art, none of the prior art of reference specifically uses the first memory that stores the coincidence data and a second memory that stores the computed scattering angles from the coincident events of the first memory.

Conclusion

6. This application is in condition for allowance except for the above formal matters.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine Sung whose telephone number is 703-305-0382. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday 7-4 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/846,423
Art Unit: 2878

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on 703-308-4852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Christine Sung
Examiner
Art Unit 2878

CS



DAVID PORTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800